
Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = B / (B + W) where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.


Phase 1 Summary Statistics				
RF Input Set		QUT.1		
Total Num Rel in Set		36		
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than	
MAP(all)	Full	5	2	
P(10)(all)	Full	6	1	
statMAP (NEU) (all)	В	13	12	
eMAP (UMass) (all)	В	9	16	
Measure			Score	
Score (all)			0.4844	
Score (average over q)			0.4418	




Phase 2: Each group ran with 7 to 8 different relevance feedback input documents, and ran a base case with no relevance feedback. Evaluated with two measures. If the group ran on the full collection, the measures were MAP and P(10). If the group ran on the B subset, the measures were statAP and eMAP (Million Query style evaluation).

In the Per topic Median Difference graphs, the median used is the global median measure (over all Phase 1 sets and base case) for each topic. Thus it remains constant between graphs.

Phase 2 Run Summary Statistics				
Document Collection: B (English1 Subset)				
Run ID	statAP	eMAP		
QUT.base	0.0435	0.0168		
QUT.CMIC.1	0.0566	0.0200		
QUT.MSRC.2	0.0481	0.0191		
QUT.QUT.1	0.0453	0.0171		
QUT.SIEL.1	0.0544	0.0198		
QUT.UPD.1	0.0501	0.0191		
QUT.WatS.1	0.0625	0.0194		
QUT.YUIR.2	0.0540	0.0185		
QUT.hit2.1	0.0489	0.0193		





1

-0.5

statAP Per Topic Median Diff, QUT.YUIR.2

